

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

APR 18 2008

CLERK'S OFFICE
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FILED

MAY - 6 2008

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
DEPUTY

JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

APR - 2 2008

FILED
CLERK'S OFFICE

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

08cv518-L(RBB)

IN RE: SEROQUEL PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL-No. 1769

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-57)

On July 6, 2006, the Panel transferred 87 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 447 F.Supp.2d 1376 (J.P.M.L. 2006). Since that time, 487 additional actions have been transferred to the Middle District of Florida. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Anne C. Conway.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Middle District of Florida and assigned to Judge Conway.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Middle District of Florida for the reasons stated in the order of July 6, 2006, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Anne C. Conway.


This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 15 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 15-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:


Jeffrey N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

APR 18 2008

ATTEST 
FOR THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

I certify the foregoing to be a true and correct copy of the original.

SHERYLL LOESCH, Clerk
United States District Court
Middle District of Florida

By: 
Deputy Clerk

IN RE: SEROQUEL PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 1769

SCHEDULE CTO-57 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST. DIV. C.A. #

CASE CAPTION

CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN

CAS 3 08-518

Donald Hale v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, et al.

NEW JERSEY

NJ 3 07-6057

Steven Swailes v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, et al.

NJ 3 07-6058

Scott Zwilling v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, et al.

NJ 3 07-6059

Todd P. Johnson v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, et al.

NJ 3 07-6060

Fran Colombus v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, et al.

NJ 3 07-6061

Daniel Lloyd Stennis v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, et al.

NJ 3 07-6062

Evon Gibbs v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, et al.

NJ 3 08-208

Flora Kruger v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, et al.

NJ 3 08-215

Leroy A. Martinez v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, et al.

NJ 3 08-217

Doris Robinson, etc. v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, et al.

NJ 3 08-218

Violet Cochran v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, et al.

NJ 3 08-874

Vanessa Bayes v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, et al.

PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN

PAE 2 08-497

Forrest Cadwallader v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, et al.

PAE 2 08-500

Christine Briglia v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, et al.

PAE 5 08-194

Timothy Deremer v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, et al.